

## Annex 2: Overall collation of responses

*NB a key to initials used is at the end of the table*

Question	Overall response
<b>1. Policy and strategic issues</b>	
1.1 Does your current planning document refer to digital preservation?	<p>Yes: AHDS, BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, eSCP, JISC, NAS, NeLH, NLS, NLW, OU, OULS, PRONI, RLG, TNA, UKDA, ULCC, Wellcome</p> <p>No: Resource, NHM, TCD</p> <p>Yes: 19</p> <p>No: 3</p>
If so, is the document available for us to see?	<p>AHDS: yes - Strategic Plan 2002-2005</p> <p>BBC: no</p> <p>BL: yes</p> <p>CUL: Strategic Directions 2003-2005, esp sections 1.4 and 3.3, <a href="http://www.lib.cam.ac.uk/strategicplan.htm">www.lib.cam.ac.uk/strategicplan.htm</a></p> <p>CCLRC: no</p> <p>eSCP: no response</p> <p>JISC Five Year Strategy 2001-2005</p> <p><a href="http://www.jisc.ac.uk/index.cfm?name=strategy0105_summary">http://www.jisc.ac.uk/index.cfm?name=strategy0105_summary</a></p> <p>recommendations 18 and 19</p> <p>NAS: no response</p> <p>NeLH: no</p> <p>NLS: yes; para 4.1.2 of Corporate Plan 2003-06</p> <p>NLW: Digital Library, Open Library: Next Steps a corporate plan 2003-2004 to 2005-2006 (Key Objectives 5 and 6); <a href="http://www.llgc.org.uk/adrodd/adrodd_s_ccorff.pdf">http://www.llgc.org.uk/adrodd/adrodd_s_ccorff.pdf</a></p> <p>OU: yes/no ( the Learning and Teaching Strategy Plan is available at from <a href="http://www2.open.ac.uk/lto/LTstrategy/ltstrategy.htm">http://www2.open.ac.uk/lto/LTstrategy/ltstrategy.htm</a>, which mentions digital preservation, but the supporting action plan and unit objectives are not available publicly.</p> <p>OULS: Vision for 2008: A Five-Year Strategic Plan (2003-2008) for the Central Libraries of the University of Oxford. <a href="http://www.bodley.ox.ac.uk/librarian/visionplus/vision2008.htm">http://www.bodley.ox.ac.uk/librarian/visionplus/vision2008.htm</a></p> <p>PRONI: yes</p> <p>Resource: <a href="http://www.resource.gov.uk">www.resource.gov.uk</a></p> <p>RLG: unsure</p> <p>TNA: <a href="http://www.pro.gov.uk/about/plans/cp_bp.htm">http://www.pro.gov.uk/about/plans/cp_bp.htm</a></p> <p>UKDA: in Strategic Plan, contract with ESRC/JISC</p> <p>ULCC: not at present; aims and objectives under development for ISO 9000:2002. University Information Strategy Board being established, with responsibility for digital preservation; information relating to these will be</p>

	<p><i>made available.</i></p> <p><i>Wellcome: Library Business Plan (but with rider that at corporate level there is less awareness)</i></p>
<p>1.2 Do you have</p> <p>a) a digital preservation strategy, or</p> <p>b) any other policy or strategy document(s) which refer to digital preservation?</p> <p>c) any alternative to a digital preservation strategy?</p> <p>If so, would you be prepared to share these documents or references with us?</p> <p><b>[a), b), c), not y/n; final one is]</b></p>	<p><i>AHDS: currently revising policy framework, including writing a corporate digital preservation strategy, a public document</i></p> <p><i>BBC: strategy document on web-archiving, cannot be provided.</i></p> <p><i>BL: digital preservation policy already provided to DPC, digital preservation strategy document drafted.</i></p> <p><i>CUL: discussing a more detailed digital preservation policy, no published statement yet</i></p> <p><i>CCLRC: no dp strategy or alternative</i></p> <p><i>eSCP: several research councils, RCUK, have such strategies and fund data centres for this purpose</i></p> <p><i>JISC: JISC Continuing Access and Digital Preservation Strategy 2002-5</i>  <a href="http://www.jisc.ac.uk/index.cfm?name=pres_strategy">http://www.jisc.ac.uk/index.cfm?name=pres_strategy</a></p> <p><i>NAS: no</i></p> <p><i>NeLH: no</i></p> <p><i>NHM: digital preservation document in preparation</i></p> <p><i>NLS: yes, contained in <a href="http://www.nls.uk/professional/policy/strategy.html">http://www.nls.uk/professional/policy/strategy.html</a></i></p> <p><i>At 1.1, 1.5, 1.6</i></p> <p><i>NLW: NLW's Digital Preservation Policy and Strategy (2003)</i>  <a href="http://www.llgc.org.uk/adrodd/digital_preservation_policy_and_strategy.pdf">http://www.llgc.org.uk/adrodd/digital_preservation_policy_and_strategy.pdf</a></p> <p><i>OU: OU Library objectives include a digital preservation strategy and implementation plans, the initial strategy has been developed. Will not be published/available</i></p> <p><i>OULS: being developed now</i></p> <p><i>PRONI: the need for a strategy identified, can be shared when available</i></p> <p><i>Resource: ICT strategy, 2001, includes reference to digital preservation and is being revised at present</i></p> <p><i>RLG: RLG has a dp strategy and most of its members – notably the larger, better-funded ones – do also</i></p> <p><i>TNA: yes</i></p> <p><i>TCD: not yet; preservation policy, including reference to digital preservation, will be available on website end-03</i></p> <p><i>UKDA: preservation policy document already provided</i></p> <p><i>ULCC: no established strategy; client strategies may include this, but cannot be shared; the University's own records management policies refer indirectly to dp.</i></p> <p><i>Wellcome: digital preservation strategy in preparation and will be on website</i></p>
<p>1.3 Do you have a corporate risk management process?</p>	<p><i>Yes: BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, eSCP (individual Research Councils have risk management), JISC, NAS, NeLH, NHM, NLS, NLW, OU, PRONI, TNA, TCD, UKDA, ULCC,</i></p> <p><i>No: AHDS, OULS</i></p>

<p>If so:</p> <p>a) does digital preservation figure on it?</p> <p>b) is it defined as a long, or short, term risk?</p> <p><b>[all y/n]</b></p>	<p>a) Yes: CUL (resource rather than technological); CCLRC (as constant risk requiring permanent vigilance); JISC [long and short term, corporate register plus separate digitisation programme register]; NAS defines electronic records generally as a risk, relatively long-term; NeLH (long term risk); NLW[ risk register under review, draft version has high impact, high probability, high risk]; PRONI (long-term risk); UKDA [corporate risk management rests with University, digital preservation is minor but a post has been created to address it; UKDA has a risk element in its policy document]; ULCC has risk management at corporate (ie University) and unit level, with dp featuring peripherally only at unit level.</p> <p>a) No: BBC (at present making efforts to get DP included); BL (has a corporate risk register, digital preservation not mentioned as a significant risk, but mentioned under a general preservation heading; further work on DP risk being done); eSRC (risk in terms of back-up only is addressed); NHM; NLS; OU; TNA (list works by process, not function); TCD; Wellcome</p> <p>Other: RLG no response</p>
<p>1.4 Is your responsibility for digital preservation driven by one (or more) of the following? :</p> <p>a) a statutory requirement to keep/store/preserve material?</p> <p>b) A statutory duty to provide public access?</p> <p>c) Some other statutory requirement or duty?</p> <p>d) A core business requirement?</p> <p><b>[not a y/n question]</b></p>	<p>a) yes: BBC (charter rather than statute), BL, CUL, TNA, NAS, NeLH, NHM, NLS; NLW; OULS; PRONI; TCD [the two latter as soon as electronic legal deposit issues are sorted out]; ULCC (Public Records Act)</p> <p>a) no: eSCP, UKDA</p> <p>b) yes: BBC (charter requirement, for heritage purposes), BL, CCLRC (partly - some Research Council users have a duty to make data public), CUL, NAS, NeLH., NHM, NLS, TNA, ULCC (as for a)),</p> <p>b) no: eSCP, PRONI, UKDA</p> <p>c) yes: BL, NeLH, NHM, TNA, ULCC (cites FOI, data protection, environmental impact regulations)</p> <p>c) no: eSCP, NLS, PRONI, UKDA</p> <p>d) yes: AHDS (is a digital research archive, with the responsibility of preserving its holdings); BBC (need for access to a working archive); BL, CCLRC (mainly – see also b); JISC [HE/FE institutions may have other duties]; NHM, NLS; OU (OU's Government Structure Handbook7/2000 states library has remit for digital preservation); OULS; RLG; TNA; UKDA [digital preservation is a contractual requirement for the service provided for the ESRC/JISC, also a small number of other contracts for preservation services]; ULCC (medium/long term storage of research material, plus contractual agreements with clients); Wellcome</p> <p>d) no: eSCP; NeLH, PRONI</p> <p>Comment: RLG as a member institution points out that its members are likely to cite b), c), and d) as well.</p>
<p>1.5 Are you aware of any statutory or legal issue or problem that might complicate or impede your organisation's digital preservation activities?</p>	<p>Yes: BBC (possible copyright issues for no-BBC recordings etc); BL and NLW both cite legal deposit primary legislation, plus additional secondary legislation; CUL concerns over 3<sup>rd</sup> party rights over proprietary software associated with digital material acquired through legal deposit or otherwise; CCLRC refers to problems over ownership of scientific data in the UK; eSCP cites Data Protection Act; JISC refers to ensuring continuing access provisions in licensing third-party material; NAS refers to possible need to update existing legislation to deal properly with digital material; NLS cites copyright, license agreements and artistic expression; NLW refers to Freedom of Information and Data Protection legislation and to government electronic service delivery targets (2005); OU cites Legal Deposit Libraries Bill, though not yet clear what the effect will be; OULS (also FOI and DP, plus SENDA); PRONI (likely need to transfer digital records before the present 20 year point); RLG points to US legal</p>

	<p><i>complications, especially over legal deposit, and indicates that new legislation is required; TNA (ref to consultation on archives legislation, issued Sept 03); TCD also refers to the need for clarification of statutory position on legal deposit (non-UK); UKDA refers to potential Freedom of Information and Data Protection issues; ULCC also refers to these plus environmental impact regulations, and potential conflict between these, also to European copyright law and US DMCA</i></p> <p><i>No: AHDS, NeLH, NHM, Wellcome</i></p>
<b>2. Current projects</b>	<b><i>For details of 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, see annex 5</i></b>
<p>2.1 Have you recently completed, or participated in, a digital preservation project (i.e completed during 2003)?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p><i>Yes: AHDS, BL, CUL, CCLRC, JISC, OULS, TNA, UKDA, ULCC, Wellcome</i></p> <p><i>No: BBC, eSCP, NAS, NeLH, NHM, NLS, NLW, OU, PRONI, TCD</i></p>
<p>2.2 Are you currently running, or participating in, a digital preservation project or projects?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p><i>Yes: AHDS, BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, eSCP, JISC, NAS, NHM, NLS, NLW, OULS, TNA, UKDA, ULCC, Wellcome</i></p> <p><i>No: NeLH, OU, PRONI, TCD</i></p>
<p>2.3 Are you currently planning a digital preservation project?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p><i>Yes: AHDS, BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, JISC, NeLH, NLS, OU, OULS, PRONI, TNA, UKDA, ULCC (no detail given), Wellcome</i></p> <p><i>No: eSCP, NAS, NHM, NLW (but refers to implementation of dp strategy 03-06), TCD</i></p> <p><i>Other: no response RLG</i></p>
<b>3. Volumes of material</b>	
<p>3.1 Have you carried out any survey of digital material which you already hold for long-term storage?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p> <p>If not, can you offer any approximate estimate as to volume?</p>	<p><i>Yes: AHDS, BBC, BL (details of both in survey forms), CUL (c 400Gb, mostly digitisation), CCLRC (100 TB), NLS (c. 4 Terabytes on hard disk), TNA, UKDA, ULCC</i></p> <p><i>No: NeLH, NHM, NLW, OU, OULS, PRONI, TCD (survey is planned, no details), Wellcome</i></p> <p><i>Other: JISC [question not applicable – JISC is a committee]</i></p> <p><i>Other: no response eSCP, RLG</i></p>
<p>3.2 Have you assessed the volumes of digital material you will have to preserve in the future, and the likely rate of growth?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p><i>Yes: BBC, BL (details of both in survey forms); CUL, CCLRC (2+PB in 2-4 yrs); JISC; NAS (details in survey form); OULS (detail in survey form); TNA; UKDA</i></p> <p><i>No: AHDS, NeLH, NHM, NLS (survey planned for 2004), NLW, OU, PRONI, TCD, Wellcome</i></p> <p><i>Other: no response eSCP; RLG;</i></p> <p><i>NAS can define volume as an equivalent to present paper intake, but nt</i></p>

	<p><i>digitally</i></p> <p><i>ULCC has plans to assess university's own records over the coming year.</i></p>
<p>3.3 On what basis you have made these assessments?</p> <p><b>[not y/n]</b></p>	<p><i>BBC: reliable, based growth figures on last five years' actuals</i></p> <p><i>BL acknowledges possibility of error in estimates, possibly up to 50%</i></p> <p><i>CUL: impact study on behalf of Joint Committee on Voluntary Deposit in 2002 covers growth and costs, not volumes, for legal deposit to 2005</i></p> <p><i>rates CCLRC: Discussion with existing and potential users, fixed output rates of various scientific facilities.</i></p> <p><i>JISC: collection reports include 'broad estimates'</i></p> <p><i>NLS: Multiplication of expected throughput and average file size</i></p> <p><i>UKDA: need to increase capacity threefold over course of current ESRC award</i></p> <p><i>No response: AHDS, eSCP, TNA, NLW, RLG,</i></p> <p><i>Not applicable: OULS, TCD</i></p>
<p>3.4 Do you have a selection policy for material for digital preservation?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p><i>Yes: AHDS, BBC (both for taking material into archive, and for reservation), BL (being developed - details in BL survey form); CUL; CCLRC; NLS (for in-house digitised material); NLW (reference in the Library's Collection Development Policy, 2003); NHM; OU; OULS; PRONI (selection will be on same basis as current non-digital); TNA; UKDA; ULCC</i></p> <p><i>No: NeLH, TCD</i></p> <p><i>Other: eSCP no response; JISC – question applies to member institutions, not JISC itself; ULCC refers to corporate policies, not yet consistently applied, and to research material decisions often dependent on contractual or statutory obligations; Wellcome no response.</i></p> <p><i>NAS: as an archive, selection poly will not differ radically for digital material, as it is the information contained which determines selection, not the format.</i></p>
<p>3.5 If you have not done any of 3.1-3.4, but have plans to do so, please give details.</p>	<p><i>NLW: Web Archive Wales project will draw up selection criteria for websites, and a survey of formats and hardware at NLW will be carried out as part of the DP strategy in 2003-04</i></p>
<p><b>4. Types of material</b></p>	
<p>4.1 What type of digital material will you mostly be preserving?</p> <p><b>[not y/n]</b></p>	<p><b>List of those who responded below; for detail of response see annex 5</b></p> <p><i>AHDS, BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, NAS, NeLH, NLS, NLW, OULS, PRONI, TNA, TCD, UKDA, ULCC, Wellcome</i></p> <p><i>JISC – question not applicable</i></p>

<b>5. Resource issues</b>	
<p>5.1 Have you ever asked your major funding source(s) for extra resources for your digital preservation programme?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p>Yes: BL (2001/2, unsuccessful); JISC; NAS (for a small number of new posts to deal with DP issues); NLW (for Digital Archive, successful, Web Archiving Pilot Project, not); UKDA (entirely funded by 'external' awards mainly from ESRC, JISC, AHRD, EU. The total budget at present is c. £2.5 million a year. Current 'core' funding is for 5 years, extendable to 8 years upon review.); ULCC (JISC NTP programme (1994) – research demonstrator); Wellcome (internal funding)</p> <p>No: AHDS (funding is already an integral part of budget), BBC, CUL, CCLRC ('not yet'), NeLH, NHM, NLS, OU, OULS, PRONI, TNA, TCD</p>
<p>5.2 Is funding for digital preservation likely to become a major issue for you over the next five years?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p>Yes: BL, CUL, CCLRC, JISC, NAS, NHM, NLS, NLW, OU, OULS, TCD, ULCC, Wellcome</p> <p>No: NeLH, TNA, UKDA</p> <p>Possibly: AHDS, BBC</p> <p>Other: PRONI awaits production of its digital preservation strategy, in 2005/05, to answer</p>
<p>5.3 Have you assessed your funding requirements to meet your digital preservation responsibilities:</p> <p>a) in the short-medium term (ie 1-5 years)?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p> <p>b) in the longer term (ie 5-10 years or longer)?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p>a) Yes: AHDS, BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, JISC, NAS, NHM, NLW, OULS (being done now), TNA, UKDA, ULCC</p> <p>a) No: NeLH, NLS, OU, PRONI, TCD, Wellcome</p> <p>b) Yes: CUL</p> <p>b) No: AHDS, BBC, BL, CCLRC, JISC, NAS, NeLH, NHM, NLS, NLW, OU, OULS, PRONI, TNA, TCD, UKDA (primary funders only work 5 years ahead), ULCC, Wellcome</p> <p>No response: eSCP, RLG</p> <p>CUL: ref to VCLD as doing both a) and b)</p> <p>CCLRC reply to b) was 'less so'.</p>
<p>5.4 Is your present resource for digital preservation:</p> <p>a) from within existing resources AND/OR:</p> <p>b) new money allocated by your funding source specifically for digital preservation</p> <p><b>[not y/n]</b></p>	<p>a) Yes: AHDS, BBC, CUL, NeLH, NLS, OULS, PRONI, UKDA, ULCC</p> <p>a) No:</p> <p>b) Yes: Wellcome (from Trust ie internal source)</p> <p>b) No: NLS</p> <p>Both a) and b): BL, CCLRC (but mostly b)), JISC, NAS, NLW (but 'mostly' a)), OU (not yet secured), TNA,</p> <p>No response: eSCP, RLG</p> <p>CUL: capacity to cope within existing resource now exhausted</p> <p>NHM: specific bid made (Invest to Save govt scheme)</p> <p>TCD: no allocation at present</p> <p>UKDA: funding is part of contractual requirements</p> <p>ULCC: primarily existing, some external funding from HEFCE</p>

<p>5.5 Is your digital preservation requirement totally new and additional to what you do now, or will it, in whole or in part, replace existing activities?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p><i>Wholly new: BL (though may shift to 'partly' in the future), CUL ('predominantly'), NeLH, NLS (expect some offsetting decrease in c 20 years time), NLW (though will assess at a later stage), OU, TNA, TCD, Wellcome</i></p> <p><i>Partly new: JISC, NAS, NHM, OULS, PRONI, ULCC</i></p> <p><i>Replacement: BBC</i></p> <p><i>AHDS: neither, as it is core business activity</i></p> <p><i>CCLRC: core activity for many years, but starting now to grow significantly</i></p> <p><i>UKDA: digital preservation has been part of core activity over 20 years</i></p>
<p>5.6 Will you need core or project funding, or both, to cope with digital preservation?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p><i>Core funding: NeLH, NLS, TCD, UKDA</i></p> <p><i>Project funding: ULCC needs both, but short term need primarily for project; BBC project at present but may become core as consolidated</i></p> <p><i>Both: AHDS, BL, CUL, CCLRC (distinguishes capital/revenue rather than project/core), JISC, NAS, NHM, NLW, OU, OULS, PRONI, TNA, ULCC, Wellcome</i></p>
<p>5.7 What are your main funding sources for digital preservation?</p>	<p><i>AHDS: HE funding bodies, via JISC and the AHRB</i></p> <p><i>BBC: from BBC funds</i></p> <p><i>BL: UK government</i></p> <p><i>CUL: HEFCE, DTI (e-science related projects)</i></p> <p><i>CCLRC: Research Councils and those requiring the service offered</i></p> <p><i>JISC: 100% HE funding bodies</i></p> <p><i>NAS: the Scottish Executive</i></p> <p><i>NeLH: UK government (DoH)</i></p> <p><i>NHM: some sponsorship possible, but mostly government funding</i></p> <p><i>NLS: the Scottish Executive</i></p> <p><i>NLW: UK government, plus some sponsorship and private funding</i></p> <p><i>OU: not yet known</i></p> <p><i>OULS: Research Councils and HE finding bodies, grant/sponsorship (eg Mellon), revenue</i></p> <p><i>PRONI: NI central government funding</i></p> <p><i>TNA: UK government</i></p> <p><i>TCD: Irish government funds</i></p> <p><i>UKDA: Research Council and HE funding body (ESRC/JISC)</i></p> <p><i>ULCC: HE funding bodies, earned income, European Commission (for research and market testing activities)</i></p> <p><i>Wellcome: the Trust</i></p> <p><i>No response: eSCP, RLG</i></p>
<p>5.8 Will your digital preservation programme</p>	<p><i>Yes: AHDS, CUL, CCLRC, NHM, NLW ('indirectly' via e-commerce), OU, OULS (ODL Phase Two aims to create a digital picture library)</i></p>

<p>help you to develop any significant additional revenue stream from your digital services</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p>No: BL, JISC, NAS, NeLH, NLS, Wellcome</p> <p>Not sure: PRONI, TNA, TCD</p> <p>Possibly: BBC</p> <p>No response: eSCP, RLG</p> <p>UKDA: can and does undertake revenue earning contracts, to a limited extent</p> <p>ULCC: It is already a significant income stream in excess of £1m/annum.</p>
<p>5.9 Have you assessed your staffing needs for digital preservation?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p>Yes: AHDS, BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, OULS, TNA, UKDA (core business, tends to train in-house), ULCC (ditto)</p> <p>No: NAS, NeLH, NHM, NLS, NLW (ref to difficulty of quantifying, and to buying in some dp services), OU, PRONI, TCD, Wellcome</p> <p>Not applicable: JISC [JISC itself subject to staff numbers cap, constraining direct recruitment],</p> <p>No response: eSCP, RLG</p>
<b>6. Timing issues</b>	
<p>6.1 Do you face any time deadline, or other issue affecting timing, on digital preservation</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p>Yes: BBC (degradation of material); BL (timing and impact of the Legal Deposit Libraries Bill); CUL (legal deposit and internal project plan); CCLRC (core business pressures); NAS (Scottish Executive EDM and ERM 2005 targets); NHM (government 2005 service targets); NLS (Legal Deposit regulations); NLW (government 2005 service targets and Legal Deposit); OU (internal business target early 2004 for strategy and project; funding not yet available); OULS (legal deposit, business needs); TNA (business plan targets); TCD (need to have policy, staff and programme in place within 5 years); ULCC (Freedom of Information Act implementation date 2005)</p> <p>No: AHDS, JISC, NeLH, UKDA, Wellcome</p> <p>No response: eSCP, RLG</p>
<b>7. General</b>	For details of answers to 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4, see <b>annex 7</b>
<p>7.1 Are there any national priorities or actions which you think are needed, which are not being actioned?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p>Yes: AHDS, BBC, BL, CCLRC, JISC, NAS, NHM, NLW, OU, OULS, PRONI, Resource, RLG (NB comment applies to impact of new US funding for NDIIPP), TCD, UKDA</p> <p>No: CUL, eSCP, NeLH, NLS, TNA, ULCC, Wellcome</p>
<p>7.2 Do you have any suggestions, or views on priorities, for digital preservation needs affecting non-DPC members?</p> <p><b>[y/n]</b></p>	<p>Yes: AHDS, BL, CCLRC, JISC, NAS, NHM, NLS, OU, OULS, Resource, TCD, TNA, UKDA, ULCC</p> <p>No: BBC, CUL, eSCP, NeLH, NLW, PRONI, RLG, Wellcome</p>
7.3 Is there anything,	Yes: BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, JISC, NAS, NHM, NLS, NLW, OU, OULS,



other than increased financial or staff resource, which would help you to meet your digital preservation requirements? <b>[y/n]</b>	<i>TCD</i> <i>No: AHDS, eSCP, PRONI, RLG, TNA, UKDA, ULCC, Wellcome</i>
7.4 Can you specify any broader benefits arising from your digital preservation programme? <b>[y/n]</b>	<i>Yes: BBC, BL, CUL, CCLRC, JISC, NHM, NLW, OU, OULS, PRONI, TNA, TCD, UKDA, ULCC</i> <i>No: AHDS, eSCP, NAS, NLS, RLG, Wellcome</i>

*Initials used:**AHDS: the Arts and Humanities Data Service**ALPSP: the Association of Learned and Professional Society Publishers**BBC: the British Broadcasting Corporation**BL: the British Library**CCLRC: Council for the Central Laboratory of the Research Councils**CUL: Cambridge University Library**CURL: the Consortium of University Research Libraries**eSCP: the e-Science Core Programme**JISC: the Joint Information Systems Committee of the Higher and Further Education Funding Councils**NAS: the National Archives of Scotland**NeLH: the National Electronic Library for Health**NHM: Natural History Museum**NLS: National Library of Scotland**NLW: Llyfrgell Genedlaethol Cymru, the National Library of Wales**OU: the Open University**OULS: Oxford University Library Services**PRONI: the Public Record Office of Northern Ireland**PA: the Publishers Association**RLG: the Research Libraries Group**Resource: Resource, the Council for Museums, Archives and Libraries**TCD: the Library of Trinity College, Dublin**TNA: the National Archives**UKDA: the United Kingdom Data Archive at the University of Essex*

*ULCC: the University of London Computer Centre*

*Wellcome: the Wellcome Trust Library*